

# **Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability**

PENSION IMPACT NOTE 103<sup>RD</sup> General Assembly

BILL NO:	SB 1690, as amended by SA 001	March 14, 2023
SPONSOR (S):	Martwick	
SYSTEM:	General Provisions Article & Cook County Article	

## FISCAL IMPACT

The fiscal impact of the new funding approach contained in 1690, as amended by SA 001, is not known, as an actuarial study has not been made available as of this writing. The new employer contribution plan relies on a "layered amortization" approach for amortizing unfunded liabilities, rather than the current "multiplier" method. An explanation of the workings of a 'layered' amortization approach is provided by CGFA's actuary in Appendix I of this impact note.

<u>SUBJECT MATTER</u>: SB 1690, as amended by SA 001, amends the General Provisions and the Cook County articles of the Pension Code. The bill implements a new funding schedule and a new salary cap for Tier 2 members. These changes are explained in more detail in the Comments section below:

#### COMMENTS:

### Pensionable Salary Cap Adjustment for Tier 2 Members

Under current law, the annual pensionable earnings of Tier 2 employees shall not exceed \$123,489, the current Tier 2 pensionable salary cap. Beginning January 1, 2024, SB 1690, as amended by SA 001, would change the annual Tier 2 pensionable salary cap to be equal to the annual contribution and benefit base established for the applicable year by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration under the federal Social Security Act (\$160,200 in 2023). Additionally, the bill states that reciprocal service or any provisions regarding reciprocal services shall be included in the earnings, salary, and wage cap calculation.

#### Pension Funding Sources

Currently, employer contributions to the Cook County Employees' Pension Fund are made via a "multiplier" methodology, under which contributions are made from property taxes in an amount equal to employer contributions in the calendar year 2 years prior to the payment year, multiplied by 1.54. Beginning in 2024, SB 1690, as amended by SA 001, provides that contributions to the pension fund can be made from any lawfully available funds, over and above the current property tax levy. In addition to the expansion of employer funding sources, a new minimum funding schedule will take effect in FY 2024, as outlined below.

## New Minimum Funding Requirement Beginning in FY 2024

Under SB 1690, as amended by SA 001, the "multiplier methodology" funding as described above will be replaced with a new funding methodology. SB 1690, as amended by SA 001, provides that the new "minimum required employer contributions" shall be equal to the sum of the following components:

- 1. the projected normal cost for pensions for that fiscal year based on the entry age actuarial cost method, plus;
- 2. a projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability "layered" amortization payment for pensions for the fiscal year, plus;
- 3. projected expenses for that fiscal year, plus;
- 4. interest to adjust for payment pattern during the fiscal year, less;
- 5. projected employee contributions for that fiscal year.

## Increase in Size of Board of Trustees from 9 members to 11 Members

Currently, the Cook County Pension Fund Board is comprised of 9 members, 2 of whom are appointed, one by the comptroller of the county, and the other by the treasurer of the county. Four members are elected by the active employees of the fund, and 3 are elected from the retired members of the fund. SB 1690, as amended by SA 001, would grant the President of the Cook County Board 2 appointments, and such appointees shall be persons who have experience in the operations, affairs, and management of public pensions.

<u>Impact of SA 001:</u> Currently, the Cook County Article of the Pension Code contains an outdated provision concerning the purchase of optional military service credit. Current statute holds that a member must have been in active service as of January 1, 1993 and must have at least 25 years of service credit in the pension fund to purchase up to 2 years of optional military service credit. The underlying bill deleted the aforementioned "in service" date of January 1, 1993, such that the only requirement for the purchase of up to 2 years of military service credit would be that a participant must have been in service for at least 25 years as a contributing employee. SA 001 deletes this provision of the underlying bill.

GR:bs LRB103 26358 RPS 52719 b

#### Appendix I

The following is a basic explanation of the workings of a 'layered' amortization approach to amortizing unfunded liabilities, as provided by Segal Consulting.

As of December 31, 2021, a pension fund is planning to systematically pay down the unfunded actuarial liabilities (UAL) as of that date over 30 years.

During 2022 there is an investment loss that increases UAL. The fund will have a separate 30 years to pay this off, as opposed to adding to the remaining UAL from 12/31/2021 a year later and expecting to pay off this new investment loss over 29 years.

Another example: it's 2050 and there have magically been no gains, losses, assumption changes, etc. for 29 years. The 12/31/2021 UAL is about to be paid off, but then you have a large investment loss. Under "closed period" or "target date" amortization, the expectation is that this loss is funded in one year. Layered amortization gives you a full 30 years to pay it off.

Each year – for any new source of UAL that arose in the prior year – a new amortization layer is created and paid down over 30 years. A pension fund ends up with a lot of "pieces", but generally layered amortization is a preferable approach over closed amortization periods.